

**Application No.:** 09/936,307

**IN THE DRAWINGS**

Please amend Fig. 1 as indicated on the enclosed copies thereof. Fig. 1 has been amended to include the reference sign “F.”

**REMARKS**

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Misleh for the indication of allowable subject matter recited by claim 9. In response to the Office Action dated September 10, 2004, Applicants have amended Fig. 1 so as to include the reference sign "F." Applicants have canceled claim 1-3, 8 and 10-16, without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants have rewritten claim 9 into independent format. Claims 4, 5, 6 and 7 have also been amended to incorporate the claim elements recited by amended claim 9. New method claims 17-21 are added to track amended claims 9, 4, 5, 6, and 7, respectively. No new matter has been added.

Furthermore, the Examiner has objected to the drawings because the reference numeral 11 shown in Fig. 1 is not described in the specification. However, it is respectfully submitted that the D/A converter 11 is described at page 11, lines 8-10 of the specification.

Moreover, the Examiner has objected to the specification, and asserted that the reference to the "above-described Fig. 3" at page 9, lines 14 and 15, is mislabeled, where "Fig. 2" is intended, because the explanation of Fig. 3 is not discussed prior to page 9 of the specification. However, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's conclusion is incorrect, because the details of Fig. 3 are discussed beginning at page 3, line 2 of the specification.

Additionally, the Examiner has objected to the specification, because the description of the reference numeral "11" is improperly placed, where the reference numeral "11" is first described on page 11, line 9, in connection with Fig. 2, rather than Fig. 1 of Applicants' drawings. However, it is respectfully submitted that the paragraph at page 11, lines 3-10 encompassing the description of D/A converter 11 is directed to the microcomputer 8 of Fig. 1. As such, the D/A converter described in the foregoing paragraph is in connection with Fig. 1, rather than Fig. 2 as alleged by the Examiner.

**Application No.:** 09/936,307

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the objections to the specification be withdrawn.

Having fully responded to all matters raised in the Office Action, Applicants submit that all claims are in condition for allowance, an indication for which is respectfully solicited.

Should there remain any outstanding issues that require adverse action, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone the undersigned attorney below so that such issues may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

  
Michael E. Fogarty  
Registration No. 36,139

600 13<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-3096  
Phone: 202.756.8000 MEF/AHC  
Facsimile: 202.756.8087  
**Date: December 7, 2004**

**Please recognize our Customer No. 20277  
as our correspondence address.**